

IDEAL SPONTANEOUS SPACE CLOSURE AFTER LATE EXTRACTION OF PERMANENT FIRST MOLAR TEETH

#### ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** Before they haven't completed its development, permanent first molar (PFM) teeth which have been decayed and treated with root canal therapy is mostly extracted before the child even reaches adult hood. With correct evaluation of patient, these extracted teeth's space can be ideally closed by permanent second molars (PSM). The aim of the study is to learn under which conditions we can provide ideal closure and occlusion in patients who refer to us in a late stage.

**Materials and Methods:** 695 patients aged 6-16 years who had PFM tooth extracted at a Faculty of Dentistry were retrospectively evaluated from the system. 117 patients had their PFM tooth extracted after the ideal age range and 15 of them were found to meet the inclusion criteria. Panoramic films of 21 teeth of 15 patients with spontaneous ideal closure were evaluated before extraction and after eruption of PSM teeth. The contact between the PSM and the second premolar was recorded and intraoral photographs were taken. Rotations in the PSM and second premolars, axis disturbances towards the lingual/buccal, midline deviations in both jaws, TMJ dysfunctions and chewing habits were evaluated.

**Results:** When PFM extraction was performed, PSM development stage was determined as Demirjian G in 19 teeth and H stage in 2 teeth. Tipping was observed in 80%, and rotation in 9.5% of the PSM teeth. Clinically, it has been determined that 85% of the patients don't notice the PFM deficiency, don't complain about their teeth and don't consider orthodontic treatment.

**Conclusions:** Within the limits of this study, it has been observed that ideal spontaneous space closure and ideal occlusion can be achieved, although it was extracted in late period. In ideal occlusion, rotation or tipping after extraction in late period; permanent third molar presence and eruption pressure were more effective than the eruption direction and angle of the PSM tooth.

**Keywords:** Molar, first, tooth extraction, pediatric dentistry, malocclusion, extraction timing, orthodontic space closure.

Pınar Demir<sup>1</sup>
 \*Hatice Aydoğdu<sup>1</sup>

 ORCID IDs of the authors:

 P.D.
 0000-0003-2030-5429

 H.A.
 0000-0002-4089-3507

<sup>1</sup> Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Inonu University, 44000, Malatya, Turkey.

 Received
 : 10.09.2020

 Accepted
 : 07.10.2020

How to Cite: Demir P, Aydoğdu H. Ideal Spontaneous Space Closure After Late Extraction of Permanent First Molar Teeth. Cumhuriyet Dent J 2020;23:4: 331-339. \*Corresponding Author: Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Inonu University, 44000, Malatya, Turkey.

Phone: +90 422 341 01 06-6200 GSM: +90 543 212 60 43 Fax: +90 422 341 11 07 E-mail: aydogdu.hatice.93@gmail.com

## INTRODUCTION

Permanent first molar (PFM) teeth are more susceptible to coronal defects such as MIH and hypoplasia caused by metabolic or physiological disorders in early infancy, as they begin to develop from pregnancy and calcify at birth.<sup>30</sup> It is the first permanent tooth in the mouth, and since it is thought to be a primary tooth by families and not maintained properly<sup>29</sup> and has a fissured anatomy<sup>32</sup>, it is prone to decay. Furthermore, limited hand skills of children under the age of 8 also prevent them from performing effective teeth brushing.<sup>26,40</sup> With the addition of poor oral hygiene to these coronal defects and predispositions, permanent first molars become the teeth with the worst prognosis<sup>30</sup> and decay and extraction are inevitable in a very short time.<sup>5,24,36</sup>

When performing root canal treatment in pediatric patients, the desired cooperation may not be achieved. In cases where the prognosis of the tooth is doubtful in the long term, the fact that keeping these teeth in the mouth with root canal treatment is seen as a temporary solution, which creates a dilemma between extraction and root canal treatment for the physician.<sup>2,25</sup> In PFM teeth with deep caries and doubtful long-term prognosis, extraction is a saving treatment option.<sup>1,2,7,13,27</sup>

When the prognosis of the tooth is poor and extraction is inevitable; with planned and timed extractions, it can be ensured that the remaining teeth of the patient are directed and the occlusion is not deteriorated due to missing teeth and is minimally affected. In the literature, it has been reported that an optimum occlusion can be provided for the child with the appropriate timing and case selection for PFM tooth extraction.<sup>16,37,38</sup> The ideal time varies according to the physical and dental development of the child. The ideal time for the extraction of a PFM is the time when calcification begins to form in the bifurcation area of permanent second molars (PSM) and usually corresponds to the age range of 8-10 years.<sup>1,20,38</sup> These ages correspond to "E" in the Demirjian classification which is widely used, and 6th and 7th stages in the Nolla classification.<sup>10,21</sup> However, patients who present for PFM tooth

extraction are generally older than this ideal age range.1 Studies in the literature indicate that the extraction area will not be completely closed<sup>13</sup> and that weak contacts will form between teeth in case the teeth extraction is delayed.<sup>6,11</sup> However, it has been reported in recent studies that successful results can be obtained, especially in the upper jaw, up to the age of 11.5 years.<sup>12</sup> Although the results of extraction of PFM teeth can be clinically indicated, there is little scientific evidence as to which factors are effective other than the ideal extraction timing to minimize unwanted negative consequences such as the tipping and/or rotation of the second premolars or PSM teeth.<sup>37,38</sup>

There are controversial opinions about the effects of some criteria other than timing on the prognosis of the extraction space to ensure ideal occlusion.<sup>37,38</sup> Many researchers find that the correct timing is not enough alone for successful spontaneous closure of the extraction gap. They argued that the determination of the germ of the permanent third molar and the second premolars radiographically, the patient's current occlusion type, the initial eruption angle of the permanent second molar tooth, and the compensation or balance extractions are at least as important as timing.<sup>1,7,13,23,24,35</sup>

The idea that only extractions made at the ideal time will be successful has changed. The desire is, of course, the ideal treatment at the ideal time, but even when the patient arrives very late, optimum occlusion can be achieved with correct planning. Clinical studies conducted by evaluating factors more effective than the extraction time will contribute to the literature on this subject. In this case series, cases showing ideal closure despite being extracted after the ideal time were examined. This study evaluates which factors affect the occlusion and ideal closure place after extraction of PFM teeth, based on historical clinical data. The aim of the study is to learn under which conditions we can provide ideal closure and occlusion in patients who present to us in a late stage.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this study, the occlusion of 695 patients between the ages of 6 and 16 who came to the

Department of Pedodontics of a university hospital and who had their PFM teeth extracted in this clinic between 2015 and 2018 was evaluated. The pre- and post-extraction films of 695 patients were retrospectively evaluated within the scope of a pilot study (Ethics number: 2019/338). 117 patients were detected who extracted DBM tooth after the tooth development stage Demirjian E, which is shown as the ideal time. Among them; 38 patients with spontaneous ideal space closure<sup>36</sup> on radiography were contacted by phone and informed about the study. The ideal space closure was determined by modifying the scale created by Teo in his study.<sup>36</sup> 21 teeth of 15 of the patients who agreed to come for examination and intraoral photographs were found to meet the inclusion criteria. Ethics committee approval for this prospective clinical study, which includes patient examinations and intraoral photographs, was obtained from the Health Sciences Clinical Research Ethics Committee affiliated to the Scientific Research and Publication Ethics Committee of our university (Decision No: 2020/113).

For the inclusion of patients in the study, the conditions sought for were;

\* Having a panoramic film before PFM tooth extraction,

\* Having the PFM tooth extracted in our clinic and after the Demirjian stage E,

\* Spontaneous space closure of the PSM tooth to the extraction gap of the PFM tooth and ideal contact with the distal of the second premolar tooth,

\* Having a panoramic film after the PSM tooth erupts and contacts with antagonist,

\* The parents' permission for the child's intraoral photos to be taken and used in the study by signing the consent form.

Patients who received orthodontic treatment after PFM extraction were excluded from the study.

During the clinical examination of the patients, the closure relationships of the teeth were evaluated. The contact between PSM and the second premolar was recorded and intraoral photographs were taken. Rotations in PSM and second premolars, axis abnormalities towards the linguale/ buccal, midline deviations in both jaws, TMJ dysfunctions and chewing habits were evaluated. Radiographic examination was performed in two panoramic radiographs before and after extraction. In the panoramic radiography before extraction; the radiographic development stage of the tooth, the eruption angle of the PSM tooth and the presence/absence of permanent third were evaluated. In pre-extraction molar radiographic examination; a line was drawn passing through the bifurcation perpendicular to the line passing through the cusp tips, as described by Heckmann et al.<sup>15</sup> The angle between this reference line and the line passing through the right and left gonion was calculated.<sup>17,41</sup> After lines, determining reference the program automatically took the angular measurements (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Angular Measurements for the left upper PFM

In cases where PFM deficiency in the mouth was not noticed and SPM was showed ideal contact in the clinical control after extraction; Radiography was taken to evaluate the PSM/second premolar long axis and the presence of tipping. In the postextraction radiography, the parallelism of the long axes of the PSM and the second premolar and the presence of tipping were evaluated.

#### RESULTS

Of the 15 patients included in the study, 7 were girls and 8 were boys, with an average age  $12.8 \pm$ 1.03. A total of 21 teeth were evaluated, of which were 9 mandibular and 12 maxillar molar. When PFM extraction was performed, PSM development stage was determined as Demirjian G in 19 teeth and H stage in 2 teeth. All patients have a permanent third molar tooth. Midline deviation was detected in only 1 patient. TMJ dysfunction was detected in 1 patient and unilateral chewing habit in 3 patients. Postextraction control radiographs of all patients were taken at least 3 years later. Tipping was observed in 80%, and rotation in 9.5% of the PSM teeth. PSM angles are as in Table 1.

<b>Table 1:</b> Clinical and Radiographical Evaluation
--

e At n	tage ion	ber	hird nce	PSM Angulation	Tipping		Rotation		ation	ge at ion n
Patient's Ag Extractio	Demirjian St At Extracti	Teeth Num	Permanent T Molar Prese		Second Premolar	PSM	Second Premolar	PSM	Midline Devi	Patient's Ag Postextracti Evaluatio
13	G	16	+	$131.57^{0}$	-	-	-	+	-	19
13	G	26	+	$133.21^{0}$	-	-	-	-	-	19
12	G	46	+	$60.46^{\circ}$	+	+	+	-	-	17
13	G	16	+	$114.79^{0}$	-	+	-	-	-	17
13	G	26	+	$118.65^{\circ}$	-	+	-	-	-	17
12	G	46	+	$46.81^{0}$	-	+	-	-	-	17
13	G	26	+	$127.05^{\circ}$	-	+	+	-	-	19
13	G	46	+	$63.77^{0}$	-	+	+	-	-	19
11	G	16	+	$101.05^{\circ}$	+	+	-	-	-	18
11	G	26	+	$116.91^{\circ}$	+	-	+	-	-	18
11	G	36	+	$65.16^{\circ}$	-	+	+	-	-	16
13	G	16	+	$135.74^{\circ}$	-	+	+	-	-	16
13	G	36	+	$65.82^{\circ}$	-	+	-	-	-	16
15	G	36	+	$48.03^{\circ}$	-	+	-	-	+	18
12	G	36	+	$71.47^{0}$	-	+	+	-	-	17
12	G	26	+	$58.05^{\circ}$	-	+	+	+	-	17
14	G	46	+	$66.45^{\circ}$	-	+	-	-	-	17
13	Н	16	+	$64.41^{0}$	-	+	-	-	-	17
13	G	26	+	57.73 <sup>0</sup>	-	+	-	-	-	17
14	Н	36	+	$70.91^{\circ}$	-	+	-	-	-	17
11	F	16	+	$132.64^{\circ}$	-	-	-	-	-	14

Tipping was detected in 14.2% and rotation in 38% of the second premolar teeth.

The parents of the patients who accepted to participate in our study were informed again when they came to our clinic, and the consent form was signed. Clinically, it has been determined that 85% of the patients do not notice the PFM deficiency, don't complain about their teeth and don't consider orthodontic treatment. Some of the panoramic films and intraoral photographs taken from the patients are shown below (Figure 2,3,4,5).



Figure 2: 2a: Pre-extraction radiography of the patient whose upper left PFM tooth was extracted while the PSM tooth was in the G stage 2b: Radiography taken 6 years after PFM extraction 2c: Intraoral photo 6 years after PFM extraction



Figure 3: 3a: Pre-extraction radiography of the patient whose lower left PFM tooth was extracted while the PSM tooth was in the G stage 3b: Radiography taken 5 years after PFM extraction 3c: Intraoral photo 5 years after PFM extraction



**Figure 4: 4a:** Pre-extraction radiography of the patient whose upper right PFM tooth was extracted while the PSM tooth was in the H stage **4b:** Radiography taken 4 years after PFM extraction **4c:** Intraoral photo 4 years after PFM extraction



**Figure 5: 5a:** Pre-extraction radiography of the patient whose lower right PFM tooth was extracted while the PSM tooth was in the G stage **5b:** Radiography taken 5 years after PFM extraction **5c:** Intraoral photo 5 years after PFM extraction

#### DISCUSSION

It is thought that the treatment success of PFM teeth with large restorations or excessive crown destruction will be low.<sup>1,22,31</sup> When the prognosis of the tooth is not good, keeping these teeth in the mouth with root canal treatment will be a temporary solution.<sup>2,25</sup> In these teeth with deep caries, extraction appears as a saving treatment option.<sup>2</sup> Unfortunately, no randomized controlled clinical study evaluating the extraction timing of non-restorative PFM teeth has been found in the literature<sup>12</sup>. Although prevention of complications is the most important issue in cases of PFM extraction, there is little scientific evidence about the timing of extraction to minimize unwanted adverse effects.<sup>12</sup> Extraction at ideal time or early extraction are mostly mentioned in literature.<sup>1,9,13,18,24,34</sup> There are very few clinical studies about late extractions.12

It has been reported that late extractions in the mandible will cause cross-bite and nonworking side conflicts with the mesiolingual tipping of the PSM tooth, the second premolars to tip distally, and the development of periodontal diseases and alveolar bone atrophy due to poor contact relations between the PSM and the second premolars.<sup>2,28,34</sup> It has been reported that the second molar teeth can be drifted into the mesial, or even tipped and cause rotation as a result of late extractions in the maxilla.<sup>2,28</sup>

In the literature, there are cases that are extracted at the ideal time and do not show ideal closure, and cases that show ideal closure extracted after the ideal time. In the meta-analysis study conducted by Eichenberger et al.<sup>12</sup>, it was reported that the late extractions showed less satisfactory but still good clinical results. According to the results of this study, it was shown that 50% of the extracted teeth had good results between the ages of 8-10.5, while this rate was found to be 59% between the ages of 10.5-11.5.<sup>12,31</sup> It has been reported that the clinical results in children younger than 8 years or older than 11.5 years are 34% and 44% respectively, and they give less satisfactory results. As a result of the studies, it has been observed that there is a heterogeneous situation, especially in the mandible. All these data show that factors other than age are also effective in spontaneous space closure.

It was stated that there are factors more important than time in Teo et al.<sup>36</sup> clinical study done with 236 teeth, most of which are in the G stage. According to this study, they reported that the mesial angulation of the PSM tooth and the presence of a permanent third molar is a stronger indicator of spontaneous closure of the extraction space compared to the developmental stage of the PSM tooth. Again, according to the study of Teo et al., 92% of the upper extractions caused a good closure regardless of the timing, while only 66% of the lower PFM teeth showed positive results despite the 'ideal time' extraction. Jälevik and Möller also obtained similar results in their study evaluating the spontaneous closure of the space after extraction of hypomineralized PFM teeth.<sup>18,36</sup>

No statistically significant difference was found between extraction times in the maxilla.<sup>12</sup> However, timing is more critical in the mandible than in the maxilla.<sup>12,13</sup> The reason for this, is that the maxilla is more spongy and tooth movement is easier.<sup>38</sup> In addition, the PSM apex in the maxilla has a mesial angle with respect to the crown; the tendency of the crown to be closed by drafting mesially due to the more distal angle of the PSM apex of the mandible<sup>8,12</sup> is also an important factor. In this study, all of the cases (14%) who showed an ideal contact despite being extracted in the late period and who did not show tipping and rotation in the PSM and second premolar teeth, were in the upper jaw. In accordance with the literature; in this study, even though clinical contact was observed when PFM extraction was performed while PSM teeth were in Demirjian G or H stage in the mandible, radiographic tipping was detected in all PFM teeth.

Although it was in contact with the antagonist teeth in such a way that the patient does not notice the missing tooth, it was determined radiographically that this result was achieved by PSM tipping. As a result of the late extractions performed in this study, it was observed that the patients did not complain about the lack of PFM, and they even did not feel the deficiency due to the place closed by the pressure of the third molar. Even if the deficiency is not noticed in the mouth, it has been determined as a result of the radiographs taken, that in some cases, closure occurs by the rotation of the premolars and/or the tipping of the PSM.

Panoramic radiographs have been used around the world for a long time and play an important role in dental radiology. Therefore, similar to the opinion of Bayram et al.4,28, it is thought that there is no need for other radiographs to evaluate the eruption of PSM after PFM extraction. Although panoramic radiography has disadvantages such as image magnification and consistency distortion. the between angle measurements and measurements can be assessed by continuous use of the same device and settings.<sup>14,19</sup> Larheim and Svanaes<sup>19</sup> reported that horizontal dimensions can be measured with acceptable reliability if the patient is properly stabilized during irradiation.<sup>31</sup> In this study, measurements were made on the radiographs taken using the same equipment and settings, and the margin of error was minimized.

PFMs have been found to be decayed in more than 50% of children over the age of 11.<sup>39</sup> In a study, it was found that the most common age of PFM extraction was 11, followed by 10 and 12 years respectively, and 14 was the most common evaluation age.<sup>28</sup> It was stated that the reason why the patients present to the clinic in a late period, was that parents think that PFM is a primary tooth and permanent teeth will replace PFM teeth. During the eruption of a PFM tooth, neither the child nor the family are usually aware of the eruption of the permanent teeth in the mouth.<sup>33</sup> As a result, they think that PFM teeth are also primary teeth, and that it is unnecessary to spend time/money to provide adequate oral care for children<sup>29</sup> and because only 13.4% have an idea about PFM eruption<sup>42</sup>, it is too late to treat PFM teeth.

Extracting the teeth always in their ideal developmental stage is the least risky, and enables the most ideal results possible for that patient. However, what is important here is to make an indication by evaluating each patient's own specific occlusion. The late arrival of patients does not mean that dentists still cannot achieve the ideal occlusion. Especially for PFM teeth in the upper jaw, extraction can be applied without any hesitation. In the lower jaw, extraction can be done considering;

- Long-term prognosis of restored PFM tooth
- The dental age of the patient
- Distal inclined eruption angle of the PFM
- Type of malocclusion
- Current degree of crowding
- The presence of the permanent third molar tooth.

Failure in the extraction timing causes vertical resorption of the alveolar bone, promoting tipping and rotation of neighboring teeth. As a result, it causes occlusal conflicts, TMJ dysfunctions and skeletal asymmetry in the anterior region<sup>28</sup>. When early extraction is made, this non-compensatory path is entered, but relatively less and local damage (vertical bone loss in PSM teeth) occurs in late extractions. This is caused by the eruption direction of the PSM teeth being distal, the presence of permanent third molars and the eruption pressure. If the patient meets these conditions, even applied in a late period, ideal closure is observed in extractions made before the PSM teeth eruption, and the patient's future graft + implant necessity are eliminated. By evaluating special conditions for each patient, it is possible to support the development of the jaw and occlusion with the patient's own healthy teeth.

## CONCLUSIONS

It is anticipated that this study will contribute to the limited literature available and will provide a much better clinical picture about treatment in such cases. In patients with accompanied orthodontic problems, it is difficult to make correct recommendations and make evidencebased decisions about prognosis, and long-term vision is essential when planning.<sup>3</sup> Within the limits of this study, it has been observed that ideal spontaneous space closure and ideal occlusion can be achieved, although it was extracted in the late period. In ideal occlusion, rotation or tipping after extraction in a late period; permanent third molar presence and eruption pressure were more effective than the eruption direction and angle of the PSM tooth. Clinical studies done with more patients are needed to make clear decisions on this issue.

## DECLARATIONS

• Availability of data and material: The data sets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. We guarantee that the data and the the patients' informed consent will be shared if requested by your journal.

## CONFLICTS OF INTEREST STATEMENT

All authors declare that they have no competing interests that may have influenced either the conduct or the presentation of the research.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Not applicable.

## FUNDING

The authors declare that there have no any financial relationships that need to be known regarding the study.

# Daimi Birinci Molar Dişin Geç Dönemde Çekiminden Sonra İdeal Spontan Yer Kapanması: Bir Olgu Serisi ÖZ

**Amaç:** Henüz gelişimini tamamlamadan çürüyen ve kök kanal tedavisi yapılan daimi birinci molar dişler, çocuk erişkinliğe bile ulaşamadan kaybedilmektedir. Doğru değerlendirme ile çekilen bu dişlerin yeri daimi ikinci molarlar tarafından ideal bir şekilde

kapatılabilir. Çalışmanın amacı geç dönemde bize başvuran hastalarda da ideal yer kapanması ve oklüzyonu hangi koşulda sağlayabileceğimizi öğrenmektir. Gereç ve Yöntemler: Bir üniversiteye bağlı Diş Hekimliği Fakültesinde DBM dişini çektirmiş 6-16 yaş arası 695 hasta retrospektif olarak sistemden taranmıştır. 117 hasta ideal yaş aralığından sonra daimî birinci molar dişini çektirmiş ve 15 tanesi dahil edilme kriterlerine uygun bulunmuştur. Radyografide spontan ideal yer kapanması gözlenen 15 hastanın 21 dişinin çekim öncesi ve daimi ikinci molar dişi sürdükten sonra panaromik filmi değerlendirilmiştir. Daimi ikinci molar ile 2. premolar arasındaki kontakt kaydedilmiş ve ağız içi fotoğraf kayıtları alınmıştır. Daimi ikinci molar ve 2. premolardaki rotasyonlar, linguale/bukkale doğru aks bozuklukları, her iki çenede orta hat sapmaları, TME disfonksiyonları ve çiğneme alışkanlıkları değerlendirilmiştir. **Bulgular:** DBM çekimi yapıldığında daimi ikinci molar gelişim evresi 19 dişte Demirjian G, 2 dişte Demirjian H evresi olarak tespit edilmiştir. Radyografik olarak daimi ikinci molar dişlerinin %80'inde devrilme, %9.5'inde rotasyon görülmüştür. Klinik olarak hastaların %85'inin diş eksikliğini fark etmediği, tamamının dişlerinden şikavetçi olmadığı ve ortodontik tedavi düşünmediği tespit edilmiştir. Sonuçlar: Bu çalışmanın sınırları dahilinde, geç dönemde çekilmesine rağmen ideal spontan yer kapanması ve ideal oklüzyonun oluşturulabileceği gözlenmiştir. Geç dönemde çekim sonrası ideal kapanma, rotasyon veya devrilmede; DİM dişin sürme yönü ve açısından ziyade daimi üçüncü molar varlığı ve sürme baskısı daha etkili olmuştur. Anahtar Kelimeler: Birinci molar, diş çekimi, çocuk diş hekimliği, maloklüzyon, çekim zamanlaması, ortodontik yer kapanması.

## REFERENCES

**1.** Skeie M, Raadal M, Strand G, Espelid I. The relationship between caries in the primary dentition at 5 years of age and permanent dentition at 10 years of age–a longitudinal study. International Journal of Paediatric Dentistry. 2006;16(3):152-160.

**2.** Setty JV, Srinivasan I. Knowledge and awareness of primary teeth and their importance among parents in Bengaluru City, India. International journal of clinical pediatric dentistry. 2016;9(1):56.

**3.** Taboada-Aranza O, Rodríguez-Nieto K. Prevalencia de placa dentobacteriana y caries dental en el primer

molar permanente en una población escolar del sur de la Ciudad de México. Boletín médico del Hospital Infantil de México. 2018;75(2):113-118.

**4.** Peretz B, Gluck GM. Behavior and toothbrushing of young Israeli adolescents. Journal of dentistry for children. 1999;66(4):249-252.

**5.** Unkel JH, Fenton S, Hobbs Jr G, Frere C. Toothbrushing ability is related to age in children. ASDC Journal of Dentistry for Children. 1995;62(5):346.

**6.** Teo T, Ashley P, Parekh S, Noar J. The evaluation of spontaneous space closure after the extraction of first permanent molars. European Archives of Paediatric Dentistry. 2013;14(4):207-212.

**7.** Berkovitz BK, Holland GR, Moxham BJ. Oral Anatomy, Histology and Embryology E-Book: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2017.

**8.** Patel S, Ashley P, Noar J. Radiographic prognostic factors determining spontaneous space closure after loss of the permanent first molar. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics. 2017;151(4):718-726.

**9.** Alkhadra T. A systematic review of the consequences of early extraction of first permanent first molar in different mixed dentition stages. Journal of International Society of Preventive & Community Dentistry. 2017;7(5):223.

**10.** Penchas J, Peretz B, Becker A. The dilemma of treating severely decayed first permanent molars in children: to restore or to extract. Journal of dentistry for children. 1994;61(3):199-205.

**11.** Cobourne M, Williams A, Harrison M. National clinical guidelines for the extraction of first permanent molars in children. British dental journal. 2014;217(11):643-648.

**12.** Albadri S, Zaitoun H, McDonnell S, Davidson L. Extraction of first permanent molar teeth: results from three dental hospitals. British dental journal. 2007;203(7):E14-E.

**13.** Gill D, Lee R, Tredwin C. Treatment planning for the loss of first permanent molars. Dental update. 2001;28(6):304-308.

**14.** Sandler PJ, Atkinson R, Murray AM. For four sixes. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics. 2000;117(4):418-434.

**15.** Innes N, Borrie F, Bearn D, Evans D, Rauchhaus P, McSwiggan S, et al. Should I eXtract Every Six dental trial (SIXES): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials. 2013;14(1):59.

**16.** Thilander B, Skagius S, editors. Orthodontic sequelae of extraction of permanent first molars. A longitudinal study. Report of the congress European Orthodontic Society; 1970.

**17.** Thunold K, editor Early loss of the first molars 25 years after. Report of the congress European Orthodontic Society; 1970.

**18.** Mackie I, Blinkhorn A, Davies H. The extraction of permanent first molars during the mixed dentition period–a guide to treatment planning. J Paediatr Dent. 1989;5:85-92.

**19.** Nolla C. The development of the human dentition. ASDC J Dent Child 1960;27:254-266.

**20.** Demirjian A, Goldstein H. New systems for dental maturity based on seven and four teeth. Annals of human biology. 1976;3(5):411-421.

**21.** Cameron A, R. W. Handbook of Pediatric Dentistry, 2nd edn, Mosby Co, Barcelona, Spain: . p. 1997;277-278.

**22.** Duman S, Duruk G. 6-12 Yaş Grubu Çocuklarda Daimi Birinci Büyük Azi Dişlerin Önemi Ve Değerlendirilmesi–Derleme. Atatürk Üniv Diş Hek Fak Derg 28(4):610-624.

**23.** Eichenberger M, Erb J, Zwahlen M, Schätzle M. The timing of extraction of non-restorable first permanent molars: a systematic review Introduction. Eur J Paediatr Dent. 2015;16(4):8-272.

**24.** Ong DV, Bleakley J. Compromised first permanent molars: an orthodontic perspective. Australian dental journal. 2010;55(1):2-14.

**25.** Teo TK-Y, Ashley PF, Derrick D. Lower first permanent molars: developing better predictors of spontaneous space closure. European journal of orthodontics. 2016;38(1):90-95.

**26.** Heckmann K, Spitzer WJ, Lisson JA. Molar inclination in panoramic x-rays as an indicator for

extraction decisions. Journal of Orofacial Orthopedics/ Fortschritte der Kieferorthopädie. 2007;68(6):491-500.

**27.** Jacobs C, Jacobs-Müller C, Luley C, Erbe C, Wehrbein H. Orthodontic space closure after first molar extraction without skeletal anchorage. Journal of Orofacial Orthopedics/Fortschritte der Kieferorthopädie. 2011;72(1):51-60.

**28.** Ursi W, Almeida R, Tavano O, Henriques J. Assessment of mesiodistal axial inclination through panoramic radiography. 1990.

**29.** Şenyurt F, Bodrumlu EH. Çocuklarda Daimi Birinci Büyük Azi Diş Çekim Nedenleri Ve Etkileri. Selcuk Dental Journal.6(1):98-104.

**30.** Noronha JC, Massara MdL, Souki BQ, Nogueira A. First permanent molar: first indicator of dental caries activity in initial mixed dentition. Braz Dent J. 1999;10(2):99-104.

**31.** Jälevik B, Möller M. Evaluation of spontaneous space closure and development of permanent dentition after extraction of hypomineralized permanent first molars. International journal of paediatric dentistry. 2007;17(5):328-335.

**32.** Çağlaroğlu M, Kilic N, Erdem A. Effects of early unilateral first molar extraction on skeletal asymmetry. American journal of orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics. 2008;134(2):270-275.

**33.** Telli A, Aytan S. Changes in the dental arch due to obligatory early extraction of first permanent molars. Turkish journal of orthodontics. 1989;2(1):138-143.

**34.** Serindere G, Bolgul B, Parlar T, Cosgun A. Effects of first permanent molar extraction on space changes observed in the dental arch using data mining method. Nigerian journal of clinical practice. 2019;22(7):936.

**35.** Crabb J, Rock W. Treatment planning in relation to the first permanent molar. British dental journal. 1971;131(9):396-401.

**36.** Bayram M, Özer M, Arici S. Effects of first molar extraction on third molar angulation and eruption space. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontology. 2009;107(2):e14-e20.

**37.** Gooris CG, Joondeph DR. Eruption of mandibular third molars after second-molar extractions: a radiographic study. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics. 1990;98(2):161-167.

**38.** Larheim T, Svanaes D. Reproducibility of rotational panoramic radiography: mandibular linear dimensions and angles. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics. 1986;90(1): 45-51.

**39.** Todd JE, Dodd T. Children's dental health in the United Kingdom, 1983: a survey carried out by the Social Survey Division of OPCS, on Behalf of the United Kingdom Health Departments, in Collaboration with the Dental Schools of the Universities of Birmingham and Newcastl: Stationery Office; 1985.

**40.** Taifour D, Frencken JE, Van' t Hof MA, Beiruti N, Truin GJ. Effects of glass ionomer sealants in newly erupted first molars after 5 years: a pilot study. Community dentistry and oral epidemiology. 2003;31(4):314-319.

**41.** Zouashkiani T, Mirzakhan T. Parental knowledge about presence of the first permanent molar and its effect on health of the this tooth in 7-8 years-old children (2006). Journal of Mashhad Dental School. 2006;30:225-232.

**42.** Ashley P, Noar J. Interceptive extractions for first permanent molars: a clinical protocol. British dental journal. 2019;227(3):192-195.