

# BISPHOSPHONATE INDUCED OSTEONECROSIS OF THE JAWS AND CURRENT THERAPIES

Çenelerin Bisfosfonata Bağlı Osteonekrozu ve Güncel Tedaviler

Damla TORUL<sup>1</sup> Mehmet Cihan BEREKET<sup>1</sup>

| Kabul Tarihi             | : 06.06.2016 |
|--------------------------|--------------|
| Makale Gönderilme Tarihi | : 01.06.2016 |
| Makale Kodu/Article Code | : 191062     |

### ABSTRACT

Bisphosphonates are pharmacological agents which are the potent inhibitors of osteoclastic activity. Nowadays, bisphosphonates are used to treat a variety of bone disease or related complications such as metastatic or osteolytic bone disease, hypercalcemia of malignant origin and osteoporosis. Although, bisphosphonates are significantly reduces the skeletal complications of these diseases, they are inevitably cause a specific osteonecrosis characterized by treatment resistant exposed necrotic bone, especially seen in the jaw bones where the bone turnover is high. Currently there is no definitive treatment for this complication induced by the use of bisphosphonates. The search for new treatments methods to prevent the complications that cause patients to become a victim of the economic and social aspects of this situation is still ongoing.

This review is intended to provide information about the chemical structure of bisphosphonates, their mechanisms of action and current diagnosis/treatment methods of the osteonecrosis.

**Keywords**: Bisphosphonates, malignant diseases, complications, osteonecrosis, treatment options.

#### ÖΖ

Bifosfonatlar osteoklastik aktivitenin güçlü inhibitörü olan farmakolojik ajanlardır. Günümüzde osteolitik kemik hastalığı, malignite kaynaklı hiperkalsemi, metastatik kemik hastalıkları ve osteoporoz gibi birçok farklı kemik hastalığı veya ilişkili komplikasyonun tedavisinde kullanılmaktadırlar. Bifosfonatlar bu hastalıkların iskeletsel komplikasyonlarını önemli ölçüde azaltsa da özellikle yüksek kemik döngüsünün görüldüğü çene kemiklerinde tedaviye dirençli, ekspoze nekrotik kemik ile karakterize özgün bir osteonekroza neden olmaktadırlar. Bifosfonat kullanımına bağlı olarak gelişen bu komplikasyonun henüz kesin bir tedavisi bulunmamaktadır. Hastaların, bu durumun ekonomik ve sosyal yönleri nedeniyle mağdur olmasına sebep olan bu komplikasyonla mücadele etmek için yeni tedavi arayışları hala sürmektedir. Bu derlemede bifosfonatların kimyasal yapıları, etki mekanizmaları ve osteonekrozun güncel tanı /tedavi yöntemleri hakkında bilgi verilmesi amaçlanmıştır. Anahtar Kelimeler: Bifosfonatlar, malign hastalıklar, komplikasyonlar, osteonekroz, tedavi seçenekleri.

### INTRODUCTION

Bisphosphonates (BPs) are the synthetic analogs of pyrophosphates which are the endogenous regulator of bone mineralization.<sup>1</sup> This pharmacological agents having a strong inhibitory effect on osteoclastic activity were first produced in Germany in the mid-19th century and used in industrial areas, in the prevention of kidney stone formation, in the content of the toothpaste and in obtaining bony gamma graphs in the past.<sup>1-3</sup> However, with changes in the molecular structure the effectiveness of the drug have increased.<sup>2</sup> BPs are currently used in the treatment of many diseases such as breast, prostate and lung cancers associated with bone metastasis, osteogenesis imperfecta, osteoporosis, paget's disease. fibrous dysplasia and multiple myeloma.4-6

Chemical structure of the bisphosphonate is similar to inorganic pyrophosphate and the "bis" prefix refers to two phosphonate groups attached to a common carbon atom.<sup>7</sup> Unlike the pyrophosphates, carbon atom is located in the center of bisphosphonates. This difference in molecular structure prevents the hydrolysis of BPs in acidic environments and increases the accumulation of bisphosphonates in the hard and soft tissues.<sup>8</sup> Biological activity of BPs determined by the peripheral chains. According to the nitrogen content in the peripheral chains BPs can be divided into 2 pharmacologic classes as; non-nitrogen-containing (alkaline and nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates) (aminobisphosphonates) BPs (Table 1).9 Nonnitrogen-containing bisphosphonates are the group of bisphosphonates which have the lowest activity and show their antiresorptive effects by transforming into toxic analog of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and inducing apoptosis.<sup>10, 11</sup> Antiresorptive activity of nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates involves inhibition of mevalonate pathway which is important for osteoblast function in multiple steps.<sup>2, 10</sup> Mevalonate pathway inhibition results

with the failure of prenylation and inability of the Ras, Rho and Rac proteins that regulates the cytoskeleton organization and cell survival to be activated. Thus, intracellular vesicular transport in osteoclasts deteriorate and the resorption process is suppressed.<sup>8, 12, 13</sup>

Table 1. Classification of Bisphosphonates

|             |                       |                        |  |        |                  | ,     |
|-------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--|--------|------------------|-------|
| Etidronate  | Didronel<br>Disfosfen | 1 <sup>st</sup> /NNC   | Induces osteoclastic apoptosis                   | PO, IV | OP, PGD, HMO     | 1     |
| Clodronate  | Bonefos<br>Loron      | 1ª/NNC                 | Induces osteoclastic apoptosis                   | PO, IV | OP, PGD          | 10    |
| Tilduronate | Skelid<br>Tildren     | 1ª/NNC                 | Induces osteoclastic apoptosis                   | РО     | PGD              | 10    |
| Neridronate | Nerixia               | 2 <sup>nd</sup> / NC-A | Inhibits mevalonate pathway                      | IM, IV | OI, PGD,CRPS-I   | 100   |
| Pamidronate | Aredia                | 2 <sup>nd</sup> / NC-A | Inhibits mevalonate pathway                      | IV     | OP, HMO, PGD     | 100   |
| Olpadronate | -                     | 2 <sup>nd</sup> / NC-A | Inhibits mevalonate pathway                      | -      | EP               | 500   |
| Alendronate | Fosamax               | 2 <sup>nd</sup> / NC-A | Inhibits mevalonate pathway                      | РО     | OP, PG           | 500   |
| Ibandronate | Boniva                | 2 <sup>nd</sup> /NC-A  | Inhibits mevalonate pathway                      | PO, IV | OP               | 1000  |
| Risedronate | Actonel<br>Acral      | 3 <sup>rd</sup> /NC-H  | Inhibits FPS/stabilize<br>conformational changes | PO, IV | OP, PGD, MM, HCM | 2000  |
| Zoledronate | Zometa<br>Aclasta     | 3 <sup>nl</sup> /NC-H  | Inhibits FPS/stabilize<br>conformational changes | IV     | MM, HCM, MC      | 10000 |

NNC: Non nitrogen containing, NC-A: Nitrogen containingalkyl, NC-H: Nitrogen containing-heterocyclic, PO: Peroral, IV: Intravenous, IM: Intramuscular, OP: Osteoporosis, PGD: Paget's disease, HMO: Hipercalcemia of malignant origin, OI: Osteogenesis imperfecta, CRPS-I: Complex regional pain syndrome type I, MM: Multiple myeloma, MC: Metastatic cancer, EP: Experimental purpose, FPS: farnesyl pyrophosphate synthase

BPs have particular affinity for hydroxyapatite crystals in areas with high bone turnover. When the bisphosphonate bound to the bone, it can remain stable for approximately 10 years without undergoing hydrolysis.<sup>11, 13, 14</sup> BPs show their activity by inhibiting the development and function of the osteoclasts in molecular, cellular and tissue levels.<sup>15-17</sup> BPs also triggers apoptosis of tumor cells, retarding tumor metastasis by anti-angiogenic properties and inhibit wound healing as well.<sup>18-20</sup>

BPs are drugs with low bioavailability. About 1 % of the drug from the gastrointestinal tract in oral administration and 50 % of the drug in intravenous administration is bound to the bone.<sup>21</sup> Mostly related to the gastrointestinal tract, BPs can cause side effects such as renal toxicity, acute renal failure and hypocalcemia.<sup>6</sup> Also, ocular side effects<sup>22</sup>, osteonecrosis<sup>23</sup>, esophageal cancer<sup>24</sup>, atrial fibrillation<sup>25</sup> and hepatitis<sup>26</sup> are among the other reported side effects of BPs.

Bisphosphonate-induced osteonecrosis of the jaws (BIONJ) is one of the most serious side effect of bisphosphonates which has defined as the exposed necrotic bone observed in the maxilla and /or mandible at least 8 weeks in the patients who receiving or had been exposed to a bisphosphonate and not had radiation therapy to the craniofacial region.<sup>27</sup> Similar findings of osteonecrosis were first seen in the match factory workers and named as 'Phossy Jaw' in 1899. Convertion of the phosphorus to the potent BPs such as pamidronate and alendronate by the chemical reactions in the body considered as the possible cause of this endemic osteonecrosis. It is reported that although phosphorus vapor in high temperature has a simple chemistry, it converted to the simple BPs when passed through the lungs and combine and with the  $H_2O$ either CO<sub>2</sub> and tetrahydrofolate. This simple BPs may have also circulated and combine with either ammonia or any of common amino acids in the respiratory tract such as lysine to produce more potent form of BPs.28

BIONJ is observed in the jaw bones rather than the other bones in the skeletal system. It is considered that the main reasons of this are the effect of tooth movement created in the periodontium and the high turn-over in the jawbones.<sup>29</sup> Dixon<sup>30</sup> investigate the remodeling rates in different regions and detected that more remodeling occurs in the alveolar crest than in tibia, in inferior border of mandible and bone in mandibular canal level; 10 times, 5 times and 3-5 times respectively. On the other hand, the microbial environment in the oral cavity, continuous relationship with the environment, susceptibility to trauma and vascularization were all shown among other factors increasing the risk of jaw bone osteonecrosis.<sup>31, 32</sup> In a review conducted by Hughes et al.33 in 1962, it is claimed that the ideal environment for the osteonecrosis originate from the

microorganisms and chemicals such as phosphorus together were the jaw bones.

Risk factors that play role in the occurrence of osteonecrosis in the jaw bones due to use of bisphosphonates has been classified as drug related, local and systemic risk factors<sup>34</sup> (Figure 1).





In 2005 Marx *et al.*<sup>35</sup>, in their study to evaluate inducing factors of the 119 cases of osteonecrosis, they found that osteonecrosis reported to occur in 25,2% of the cases spontaneously, 37, 8% of the cases after tooth extraction, 28,6% of the cases associated with periodontal disease, 11,2% of the cases after periodontal surgery and 0,8% of the cases after apical resection.

The serological biochemical marker used determine development risk of the to osteonecrosis is the serum C-Terminal Telopeptide (CTX) value. CTX is the terminal cross-linked telopeptide of type I collagen which occurs in the cases of increased bone turnover, resulting the fragmentation of the type I collagen by osteoclasts.<sup>36</sup> However, in recent years the radiological detection of periodontal ligament expansion considered more sensitive than serum CTX in predicting the development BIONJ.<sup>37</sup> Also, in a case-control study conducted by Kim et al.<sup>36</sup> it is reported that the CTX values did not differ between the patients in the groups of BIONJ and non-BIONJ.

Clinically, BIONJ is characterized by the painful or painless inflammation, purulent drainage, fistula formation and osteolysis or pathologic fractures that may be associated with necrotic bone.<sup>38, 39</sup> Radiologically, non-specific findings of the osteonecrosis were seen in the initial phase but in the late stages sequestration, thickening of lamina dura/alveolar crest and multiple sclerotic areas can observed.<sup>40</sup> In radiological evaluation of BIONJ conventional radiography (panoramic radiography), bone scintigraphy, computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are used.<sup>41-</sup> <sup>44</sup> Panoramic radiography is useful in the overall evaluation of the jawbones. However, it is not possible to detect changes in bone density depends on osteonecrosis otherwise the bone mineral loss exceed the rate of 30-50 %. Also panoramic radiographs is inadequate to determine the boundaries of necrotic and healthy bones.45,46 Technetium-99m-methylene diphosphate bone scintigraphy assessments made by CT was confirmed to be superior to magnetic resonance methods in the diagnosis of osteonecrosis. However, the low resolution of the scintigraphic images and not being able to malignant distinguish lesions with inflammatory stages are the most important disadvantages.43, 45 CT method is useful to determine the spread of osteonecrosis in both cortical and trabecular bone, its borders and to determine its relationship with neighboring anatomical tissue.<sup>47</sup> MRI techniques is gives detailed information on the presence of more soft tissue involvement.45,48

# Prevention and Treatment Strategies in BIONJ

In 2009 American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons (AAOMS) has been revised the prevention and treatment strategies (Table 2-3) for patients about to begin bisphosphonate therapy, asymptomatic patients using bisphosphonates and the patients have osteonecrosis.<sup>34</sup>

| Patients about to begin   | Asymptomatic pati   | ents who are undergoing bisphosphonate therapy  | Patients with osteonecrosis   |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| bisphosphonate therapy  | IV  | PO  |   |  |
| A comprehensive oral examination Elimination of dental pathologies Providing optimal periodostral total health and patient education Evaluation of prosthesis Providing the time required for mucosal healing (14-21 days) or adequate healing of the bone after dents-divende surgery. | -Providing optimal oral bygiene -Avoiding traumatic procedures. -Avoiding placement of dental implants -Non-restorable teeth may be kept in the mouth by endodomic treatment of the remaining roots | In the patients who have taken and BPI less than three<br>years: No hardworks or delay in the planned support with<br>angular recall<br>— the patients who have realized and BPI less than three<br>pares and combined or concommedic. Due publicly (3<br>month), providing the time required for associate hashing and<br>attainance of those tumor markles releva suggested if<br>systemic conditions permit.<br>Are platents to have received and longhaphonates along<br>a repletionse or other mark medications to more than three | Ain: Eliminate pain, control infection, prevent<br>progression of notencerosis<br>Sargical treasment should be delayed (if possible)<br>Sargery perform in the paintas with stage 3 disease<br>or painten with well-defined sequencies<br>- should be removed or se-<br>contained<br>Elicities initiation should be removed or se-<br>contained<br>Elicities dentaalvolate surgical procedures should |  |
| -Medical oncologists consultation<br>(for IV BPs)   |   | years: Drug holiday (3 month), providing the time required<br>for osseous healing and utilization of bone turnover marker<br>levels suggested if systemic conditions permit.  | avoided<br>-Symptomatic patients with stage 3 disease may<br>require resection and immediate reconstruction   |  |

Table 3: BIONJ Staging and Management

| BIONJ Staging and Management (2009 AAOMS) - Current Therapies   |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Stage   | Treatment   | Treatments in Literature   | Success<br>Rates   |  |  |
| Risk category: Absence of exposed<br>necrotic bone in asymptomatic<br>patients treated with IV and oral<br>BPs  | *Not require treatment<br>*Patient education  | -  | -  |  |  |
| Stage 0:Non-specific symptoms or<br>clinical and radiological findings<br>existing without clinical symptoms<br>of exposed necrotic bone  | *Management of local factors<br>*Providing oral hygiene<br>*Medical treatment, including the<br>use of<br>antibiotic and analgesic  | -  | -  |  |  |
| Stage 1: The presence of exposed<br>necrotic bone in asymptomatic<br>patients with no evidence of<br>infection  | *Antibacterial mouth rinses<br>*Patient education for continuing<br>bisphosphonate treatment<br>*No surgical treatment is indicated   | APFnamacological therapy<br>BPfnamacological + surgical<br>therapy CPhamacological +<br>surgical + platel rich plasma + laser<br>photoherapy DJLaser assisted surgery<br>EConventional surgery FJnutibotic<br>therapy of minor debridment surgery<br>+ HBO GLacal Debridment or<br>Resective Intervention IIBResection of<br>cervotic tissues, irrigation with<br>antibiotics, application of L-PRF<br>Dsurgical Treatment with PRP  | A)1/3 <sup>60</sup> ,<br>B)3/4 <sup>60</sup> ,<br>C)1/2 <sup>60</sup> ,<br>D)1/1 <sup>61</sup> ,<br>E)2/7 <sup>61</sup> ,<br>F)3/3 <sup>62</sup> ,<br>G)65/108<br>H)7/7 <sup>64</sup> ,<br>I)1/1 <sup>65</sup> |  |  |
| Stage 2: Pain and the clinical signs<br>of infection in patients with<br>exposed necrotic bone  | *Symptomatic treatment with oral<br>antibiotics<br>* Antibiotics<br>* Antibiotectial mouth rinses<br>* Superficial debidement to reduce<br>soft<br>issue irritation<br>*Pain management | AULLT applications during the<br>postoperative period in addition to<br>medical and surgical restantent<br>Bipharmacological + surgical therapy<br>Cpharmacological + surgical therapy<br>Cpharmacological + surgical therapy<br>Cpharmacological plus surgical +<br>placeter rich plusma + laser<br>phototherapy Dilaser assisted surgery<br>E(Conventional surgery F)/HIRSONIC<br>(piezo) surgery + antibiotics<br>G/Antibiotic therapy of minor<br>debridment or Resective Intervention<br>D/Conservative treatment D/Resection<br>D/Conservative treatment D/Resection<br>of 1 = Infected Issues, intensive<br>irrigation with antibiotics, application<br>of 1 = PRF & Bireparadile treatment<br>U_Surgical treatment with PRP<br>Mp/Fluorescence-Guided Bone<br>Resection N/Surgical treatment<br>anadbulactomy + reconstruction with<br>flubula free [fap               | A)9)9%,<br>B)0/1%,<br>C)8/9%,<br>D)7/9%1,<br>E)3/4%1,<br>E)3/4%1,<br>E)3/4%1,<br>E)3/4%1,<br>D)5/8%,<br>D)18/21%1,<br>C)26/26%5<br>M)13/157,<br>O)1/2 <sup>72</sup>  |  |  |
| Stoge 3: Pain, infection and<br>exposed necrotic bone being<br>associated with at least one of the<br>following:<br>"Necrotic bone extending to the<br>lower limit of the alveloar bone that<br>extraorul fixital, ansail or<br>oreantral communication<br>oreantral communication<br>of states of the states of the lower<br>limit mandible or sinus | *Antibacterial mouth rinses<br>*Antibiotic therapy and pain<br>control<br>*Surgical dehickment resection<br>to<br>preven long-term pain and<br>infection                                | AULLT applications during the<br>postoperative period in addition to<br>medical and surgical reatment<br>BResection of necrotic hone followed<br>by PROF CPharmacological +<br>surgical + platelet rich plasma + laser<br>phototherapy DiUltrasonic (picco)<br>surgery and analysis<br>E) Conventional surger, +<br>by Conventional surger, +<br>by Conventional surger, +<br>hyperbaric oxygen (HBO) therapy<br>GiThe use of pedicled huccol far pad<br>Combined with sequestrectomy<br>HJ Local Debridment or Reservice<br>Harvermion J. Besection of all<br>infected tissues, intensive irrigation<br>with antibiotica, application of L-PRF<br>JSargical resection and immediate<br>ossoous microvacular reconstruction<br>KJDAvycycline fluorescence-guided<br>markibulectomy and reconstruction<br>with Wald Gide laser LJSegmental<br>markibulectomy and reconstruction | A)2/266,<br>B)1/172,<br>C)3/360,<br>D)3/467,<br>E)12/2474<br>G)3/375,<br>H)12/2474<br>G)3/375,<br>H)17/3760,<br>H)17/376,<br>K)1/176,<br>K)1/176,<br>L)4/672   |  |  |

In patients with osteonecrosis conservative treatment, minor surgery, invasive surgery and non-surgical approaches are the current management options.

### Conservative Approach

The goal of treatment is controlling pain and secondary infections by preventing the expansion of the necrotic bone to improve the patient's quality of life. Conservative approach is generally indicated for patients in Stage 0, 1 or 2 (Figure 2, 3) stages of BIONJ.<sup>34</sup>



Figure 2. Clinical view of a BIONJ case



Figure 3. Panoramic view of a BIONJ case

In cases of BIONJ with symptoms of acute infection general approach is palliation of symptoms with antimicrobial chemotherapy. Penicillin or second generation cephalosporins, chlorhexidine rinses, and regular irrigation in the region is the basis of conservative treatment.<sup>49</sup>

### **Minor Surgery**

Minor surgery is appropriate for patients with well limited bone sequestrum. Two different minimally invasive surgical procedures can be defined. Preventive surgery is aimed eliminate concurrent causes further worsen the patient's quality of life and palliative surgery aims to eliminate or alleviate the symptoms and osteonecrotic bone.<sup>50</sup>

### Invasive surgery

Stage 3 symptomatic patients may require resection and emergent reconstruction using reconstruction plate or obturator. Candidates for surgery are the patients with Stage III lesions involves painful exposed bone and adjacent soft tissues, acute infection which cannot be treated with oral or IV antibiotics and extra-oral fistula or the cases of pathologic fracture.<sup>51, 52</sup>

# Non-Surgical Alternative Treatment Methods in BIONJ

### **Cellular Mediators**

As the Osteonecrosis is a biological degradation it is expected to be useful in treating BIONJ with growth factors. Cellular mediators play an important role in healing of bone and soft tissue defects.<sup>53</sup> Platelet concentrate reported in the literature as a treatment option for osteonecrosis.<sup>54</sup>

## Low Level Laser Therapy

Low-level laser therapy (LLLT) is used in the case of BIONJ for supporting the antimicrobial chemotherapy.<sup>55</sup> The result of the study of Stubinger *et al.*<sup>55</sup> performed on 9 patients using Er-YAG laser reported to show uncomplicated postoperative recovery.

### **Ozone Therapy**

Ozone therapy for the management of BIONJ has first reported in the literature in 2006. Rather than being a fundamental treatment, ozone therapy is used as a supportive treatment before and after surgical treatment to improve the patient's quality of life. 90 % improvement has been reported when the use of as ozone as a support to surgery and antibiotic therapy.<sup>56</sup>

## Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy

It is known that the effects of hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBO) angiogenic. Although, for the treatment of osteoradionecrosis HBO is considered as a definitive treatment option, the effect HBO in the treatment and prevention of the jaw osteonecrosis has not proven yet.<sup>57</sup>

# Hormone Treatment

In the treatment of osteonecrosis it is recommended to use of parathyroid hormone (PTH) to increase regeneration of bone. Increased PTH antagonized the effect of bisphosphonate by enhancing the tubular reabsorption of calcium in the bones and by stimulating the adrenal glands for producing 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D.<sup>58</sup>

Today, there is an obvious trend towards surgical treatment in patients with a diagnosis of BIONJ. In a systematic review which aimed to investigate the efficacy of different therapeutic approaches for BIONJ, It has been shown according to research results that, regardless of the stage of the disease, a major operation or a comprehensive laser-assisted surgery which have recovery rate, respectively, 84 % and 85 % have better results compared with the conservative surgery with an average recovery rate of 75 %. Also, recovery rates for the non-surgical treatments for the combination therapy with the use of LLLT and HBO with antibiotic were found 30% and 52% respectively and recovery rate was found to be 36 % with antibiotic alone.<sup>59</sup>

In conclusion there is no definitive treatment for this drug specific bone necrosis yet. The search for new treatments to combat this condition is still ongoing.

## REFERENCES

**1.** Gomez Font R, Martinez Garcia ML, Olmos Martinez JM. Osteochemonecrosis of the jaws due to bisphosphonate treatments. Update. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 2008;13(5):E318-24.

**2.** Fleisch H. Development of bisphosphonates. Breast Cancer Res 2002;4(1):30-4.

**3.** Fleisch H, Russell RG, Bisaz S, Casey PA, Muhlbauer RC. The influence of pyrophosphate analogues (diphosphonates) on the precipitation and dissolution of calcium phosphate in vitro and in vivo. Calcif Tissue Res 1968:Suppl:10-10a.

**4.** Franchimont N, Canalis E. Management of glucocorticoid induced osteoporosis in premenopausal women with autoimmune disease. Autoimmun Rev 2003;2(4):224-8.

**5.** Kamoun-Goldrat A, Ginisty D, Merrer M. Effect of bisphosphonates on tooth eruption in children with osteogenesis imperfecta. Eur J Oral Sci 2008;116:195-8.

**6.** Katz H. Endodontic implications of bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaws: a report of three cases. J Endod 2005;31(11):831-4.

**7.** Rodan GA, Fleisch HA. Bisphosphonates: mechanisms of action. J Clin Invest 1996;97(12):2692-6.

**8.** Senel FC, Saracoglu Tekin U, Durmus A, Bagis B. Severe osteomyelitis of the mandible associated with the use of non-nitrogencontaining bisphosphonate (disodium clodronate): report of a case. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2007;65(3):562-5.

**9.** Crepin S, Laroche ML, Sarry B, Merle L. Osteonecrosis of the jaw induced by clodronate, an alkylbiphosphonate: case report and literature review. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2010;66(6):547-54.

**10.**Leite AF, Figueiredo PT, Melo NS, et al. Bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaws. Report of a case and literature review. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2006;102(1):14-21.

**11.**Drake MT, Clarke BL, Khosla S. Bisphosphonates: mechanism of action and role in clinical practice. Mayo Clin Proc 2008;83(9):1032-45.

**12.**van Beek ER, Cohen LH, Leroy IM, et al. Differentiating the mechanisms of antiresorptive action of nitrogen containing bisphosphonates. Bone 2003;33(5):805-11.

**13.**Gutta R, Louis PJ. Bisphosphonates and osteonecrosis of the jaws: science and rationale.

Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2007;104(2):186-93.

**14.**Otto S, Pautke C, Opelz C, et al. Osteonecrosis of the jaw: effect of bisphosphonate type, local concentration, and acidic milieu on the pathomechanism. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2010;68(11):2837-45.

**15.**Hughes DE, Wright KR, Uy HL, et al. Bisphosphonates promote apoptosis in murine osteoclasts in vitro and in vivo. J Bone Miner Res 1995;10(10):1478-87.

**16.**Vitte C, Fleisch H, Guenther HL. Bisphosphonates induce osteoblasts to secrete an inhibitor of osteoclast-mediated resorption. Endocrinology 1996;137(6):2324-33.

**17.**Kimmel DB. Mechanism of action, pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profile, and clinical applications of nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates. J Dent Res 2007;86(11):1022-33.

**18.**Mashiba T, Mori S, Burr DB, et al. The effects of suppressed bone remodeling by bisphosphonates on microdamage accumulation and degree of mineralization in the cortical bone of dog rib. J Bone Miner Metab 2005;23 Suppl:36-42.

**19.**Vincenzi B, Santini D, Dicuonzo G, et al. Zoledronic acid-related angiogenesis modifications and survival in advanced breast cancer patients. J Interferon Cytokine Res 2005;25(3):144-51.

**20.**Wood J, Bonjean K, Ruetz S, et al. Novel antiangiogenic effects of the bisphosphonate compound zoledronic acid. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2002;302(3):1055-61.

**21.**Grey A, Reid IR. Differences between the bisphosphonates for the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis. Ther Clin Risk Manag 2006;2(1):77-86.

**22.**Fraunfelder FW, Fraunfelder FT, Jensvold B. Scleritis and other ocular side effects associated with pamidronate disodium. Am J Ophthalmol 2003;135(2):219-22.

**23.**Marx RE, Cillo JE, Jr., Ulloa JJ. Oral bisphosphonate-induced osteonecrosis: risk factors, prediction of risk using serum CTX testing, prevention, and treatment. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2007;65(12):2397-410.

**24.**Wysowski DK. Reports of esophageal cancer with oral bisphosphonate use. N Engl J Med 2009;360(1):89-90.

**25.**Cummings SR, Schwartz AV, Black DM. Alendronate and atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2007;356(18):1895-6.

**26.**Halabe A, Lifschitz BM, Azuri J. Liver damage due to alendronate. N Engl J Med 2000;343(5):365-6.

**27.**Aghaloo TL, Kang B, Sung EC, et al. Periodontal disease and bisphosphonates induce osteonecrosis of the jaws in the rat. J Bone Miner Res 2011;26(8):1871-82.

**28.**Marx RE. Uncovering the cause of "phossy jaw" Circa 1858 to 1906: oral and maxillofacial surgery closed case files-case closed. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2008;66(11):2356-63.

**29.**Somerman MJ, McCauley L. Bisphosphonates: sacrificing the jaw to save the skeleton? IBMS 2006;3:8-12.

**30.**Dixon RB. Bone turnoverin elderly canina mandible and tibia. j dent res 1997:76:336.

**31.**Lehrer S, Montazem A, Ramanathan L, et al. Bisphosphonate-induced osteonecrosis of the jaws, bone markers, and a hypothesized candidate gene. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2009;67(1):159-61.

**32.**Tanvetyanon T, Stiff PJ. Management of the adverse effects associated with intravenous bisphosphonates. Ann Oncol 2006;17(6):897-907.

**33.**Hughes JP, Baron R, Buckland DH, et al. Phosphorus necrosis of the jaw: a present-day study. Br J Ind Med 1962;19:83-99.

**34.**Ruggiero SL, Dodson TB, Assael LA, et al. American association of oral and maxillofacial surgeons position paper on bisphosphonaterelated osteonecrosis of the jaws-2009 update. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2009; 67:2-12

**35.**Marx RE, Sawatari Y, Fortin M, Broumand V. Bisphosphonate-induced exposed bone (osteonecrosis/osteopetrosis) of the jaws: risk factors, recognition, prevention, and treatment. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2005;63(11):1567-75.

**36.**Kim JW, Kong KA, Kim SJ, et al. Prospective biomarker evaluation in patients with osteonecrosis of the jaw who received bisphosphonates. Bone 2013;57(1):201-5.

**37.**Pasoff M. C-terminal cross-linking telopeptide as a serologic marker for bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw: review of 2 cases. J Can Dent Assoc 2013;79:d51.

**38.**Ficarra G, Beninati F. Bisphosphonaterelated osteonecrosis of the jaws: an update on clinical, pathological and management aspects. Head Neck Pathol 2007;1(2):132-40.

**39.**Montebugnoli L, Felicetti L, Gissi DB, et al. Biphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis can be controlled by nonsurgical management. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2007;104(4):473-7.

**40.**Phal PM, Myall RW, Assael LA, Weissman JL. Imaging findings of bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaws. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2007;28(6):1139-45.

**41.**Bedogni A, Blandamura S, Lokmic Z, et al. Bisphosphonate-associated jawbone osteonecrosis: a correlation between imaging techniques and histopathology. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2008;105(3):358-64.

**42.**Bisdas S, Chambron Pinho N, Smolarz A, et al. Bisphosphonate-induced osteonecrosis in the jaws: CT and MRI spectrum of findings in 32 patients. . Clin radiol 2008; 63:71-7.

**43.**Chiandussi S, Biasotto M, Dore F, et al. Clinical and diagnostic imaging of bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaws. Dentomaxillofac Radiol 2006;35(4):236-43.

**44.**Stockmann P, Hinkmann FM, Lell MM, et al. Panoramic radiograph, computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging. Which imaging technique should be preferred in bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaw? A prospective clinical study. Clin Oral Investig 2010;14(3):311-7.

**45.**Dore F, Filippi L, Biasotto M, et al. Bone scintigraphy and SPECT/CT of bisphosphonate-induced osteonecrosis of the jaw. J Nucl Med 2009;50(1):30-5.

**46.**Treister N, Sheehy N, Bae EH, et al. Dental panoramic radiographic evaluation in bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaws. Oral Dis 2009;15(1):88-92.

**47.**Bianchi SD, Scoletta M, Cassione FB, Migliaretti G, Mozzati M. Computerized tomographic findings in bisphosphonateassociated osteonecrosis of the jaw in patients with cancer. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2007;104(2):249-58.

**48.**Krishnan A, Arslanoglu A, Yildirm N, Silbergleit R, Aygun N. Imaging findings of bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw with emphasis on early magnetic resonance imaging findings. J Comput Assist Tomogr 2009;33(2):298-304.

**49.**Marx RE. Oral and Intravenous Bisphosphonates-Induced Osteonecrosis of the Jaws: History, etiology, prevention and treatment. Hanover Park: Quintessence Books. 2006.

**50.**Adapted from advisory task force on bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of jaws, American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgerons. American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgerons position paper on bisphosphonate related osteonecrosis of the jaws. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2007;65:369-76. **51.**Carlson ER, Basile JD. The role of surgical resection in the management of bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaws. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2009;67:85-95.

**52.**Kademani D, Koka S, Lacy MQ, Rajkumar SV. Primary surgical therapy for osteonecrosis of the jaw secondary to bisphosphonate therapy. Mayo Clin Proc 2006;81(8):1100-3.

**53.**Adornato MC, Morcos I, Rozanski J. The treatment of bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaws with bone resection and autologous platelet-derived growth factors. J Am Dent Assoc 2007;138(7):971-7.

**54.**Marx RE. Platelet-rich plasma: evidence to support its use. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2004;62(4):489-96.

**55.**Stubinger S, Dissmann JP, Pinho NC, et al. A preliminary report about treatment of bisphosphonate related osteonecrosis of the jaw with Er:YAG laser ablation. Lasers Surg Med 2009;41(1):26-30.

**56.**Agrillo A, Petrucci MT, Tedaldi M, et al. New therapeutic protocol in the treatment of avascular necrosis of the jaws. J Craniofac Surg 2006;17(6):1080-3.

**57.**Niinikoski JH. Clinical hyperbaric oxygen therapy, wound perfusion, and transcutaneous oximetry. World J Surg 2004;28(3):307-11.

**58.**Odvina CV, Zerwekh JE, Rao DS, et al. Severely suppressed bone turnover: a potential complication of alendronate therapy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2005;90(3):1294-301.

**59.**Rupel K, Ottaviani G, Gobbo M, et al. A systematic review of therapeutical approaches in bisphosphonates-related osteonecrosis of the jaw (BRONJ). Oral Oncol 2014;50(11):1049-57.

**60.**Martins MA, Martins MD, Lascala CA, et al. Association of laser phototherapy with PRP improves healing of bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaws in cancer patients: a preliminary study. Oral Oncol 2012;48(1):79-84. **61.**Atalay B, Yalcin S, Emes Y, et al. Bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis: laserassisted surgical treatment or conventional surgery? Lasers Med Sci 2011;26(6):815-23.

**62.**Chiu CT, Chiang WF, Chuang CY, Chang SW. Resolution of oral bisphosphonate and steroid-related osteonecrosis of the jaw--a serial case analysis. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2010;68(5):1055-63.

**63.**Graziani F, Vescovi P, Campisi G, et al. Resective surgical approach shows a high performance in the management of advanced cases of bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaws: a retrospective survey of 347 cases. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2012;70(11):2501-7.

**64.**Kim JW, Kim SJ, Kim MR. Leucocyte-rich and platelet-rich fibrin for the treatment of bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw: a prospective feasibility study. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2014;52(9):854-9.

**65.**Longo F, Guida A, Aversa C, et al. Platelet rich plasma in the treatment of bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw: personal experience and review of the literature. Int J Dent 2014;2014:298945.

**66.**Altay MA, Tasar F, Tosun E, Kan B. Lowlevel laser therapy supported surgical treatment of bisphosphonate related osteonecrosis of jaws: a retrospective analysis of 11 cases. Photomed Laser Surg 2014;32(8):468-75.

**67.**Blus C, Szmukler-Moncler S, Giannelli G, Denotti G, Orru G. Use of Ultrasonic Bone Surgery (Piezosurgery) to Surgically Treat Bisphosphonate-Related Osteonecrosis of the Jaws (BRONJ). A Case Series Report with at Least 1 Year of Follow-Up. Open Dent J 2013;7:94-101.

**68.**Jabbour Z, El-Hakim M, Mesbah-Ardakani P, Henderson JE, Albuquerque R, Jr. The outcomes of conservative and surgical treatment of stage 2 bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaws: a case series. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2012;41(11):1404-9.

**69.**Kim KM, Park W, Oh SY, et al. Distinctive role of 6-month teriparatide treatment on intractable bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw. Osteoporos Int 2014;25(5):1625-32.

**70.** Pautke C, Bauer F, Otto S, et al. Fluorescenceguided bone resection in bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaws: first clinical results of a prospective pilot study. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2011;69(1):84-91.

**71.**Rugani P, Acham S, Truschnegg A, Obermayer-Pietsch B, Jakse N. Bisphosphonate -associated osteonecrosis of the jaws: surgical treatment with ErCrYSGG-laser. Case report. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2010;110(6):e1-6.

**72.**Spinelli G, Torresetti M, Lazzeri D, et al. Microsurgical reconstruction after bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw: our experience with fibula free flap. J Craniofac Surg 2014;25(3):788-92.

**73.**Anitua E, Begona L, Orive G. Treatment of hemimandibular paresthesia in a patient with bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw (BRONJ) by combining surgical resection and PRGF-Endoret. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2013;51(8):e272-4.

**74.**Bodem JP, Schaal C, Kargus S, et al. Surgical management of bisphosphonaterelated osteonecrosis of the jaw stages II and III. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol 2016;121(4):367-72. **75.**Gallego L, Junquera L, Pelaz A, Hernando J, Megias J. The use of pedicled buccal fat pad combined with sequestrectomy in bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the maxilla. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 2012;17(2):e236-41.

**76.**Porcaro G, Amosso E, Scarpella R, Carini F. Doxycycline fluorescence-guided Er:YAG laser ablation combined with Nd:YAG/diode laser biostimulation for treating bisphosphonate -related osteonecrosis of the jaw. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol 2015;119(1):e6e12.

## **Corresponding Author Address:**

Damla TORUL

Ondokuz Mayis University

Faculty of Dentistry

Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery

Atakum/Samsun, Turkey 55139

Tel: +90 362312 1919/3288

Fax:+90 36245 76032

Email: damlatorul@hotmail.com

\* Presented in 23<sup>th</sup> International Scientific Congress of Turkish Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, May 26 – 30, 2016 Xanadu Island, Bodrum, TURKEY